

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,619	TJEERDSMA, WAYNE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Korie H. Chan	3632	

All Participants:

(1) Korie H. Chan.

(2) Jeffrey Proehl.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Baskas


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


KORIE CHAN
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed that the newly discovered reference Baskas reads on claim 1 and as such proposed to add the limitation of claim 10 into claim 1 and thereby cancelling claim 10 to put the case in condition for allowance. Additionally, since the second jaw assembly is pivotally coupled to the mounting assembly and not the first jaw assembly as recited on line 11, claim 1, the first jaw assembly should be corrected to mounting assembly. After reviewing the Baskas reference, Mr. Proehl agreed to all the changes...